

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

JAMES I. PALMER, JR.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

September 1, 1993

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. P 167 722 232

Ms. Rhonda York Randall-Textron Highway 332 East Grenada, Mississippi 38901

Re: Compliance Evaluation Inspection

Randall-Textron Grenada, MS

Dear Ms. York:

Enclosed please find an inspection report and checklist that were completed as a result of a Compliance Evaluation Inspection at Randall-Textron on July 28, 1993. This inspection revealed the following apparent violation(s) of the Mississippi Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (MHWMR):

1. MHWMR 265.14(c): Warning signs were not posted around the facility's hazardous waste surface impoundment.

We request that you respond to these apparent violation(s) within 10 days of receipt of this letter. This response should contain: (1) actions that have been taken to correct the violation(s), (2) schedule for correcting the violation(s), or (3) reasons that you believe the alleged violation(s) did not exist. The Office of Pollution Control will review this information before determining if further action including a penalty is warranted. Section 17-17-29 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (Supp. 1991) allows assessments of penalties not more than \$25,000 per day per violation. Failure to submit this information may result in enforcement action.

If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me at (601) 961-5171.

Sincerely,

Bruce Ferguson

Hazardous Waste Division

BF:qd

Enclosures

CC: Mr. Coffiction of enumera NTERAP. (.w. /enust. o.s. uscass) s 39289-0385, (601) 961-5171

RCRA COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION

1. INSPECTOR AND AUTHOR OF REPORT

Bruce Ferguson, ESIII
Department of Environmental Quality

2. FACILITY INFORMATION

Randall-Textron Highway 332 East Grenada, Mississippi 38901 MSD007037278

3. RESPONSIBLE COMPANY OFFICIAL

Frank Logan, Plant Manager

4. INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS

Rhonda York, Randall-Textron Dann Spariousa, U.S. EPA Bruce Ferguson, MDEQ

5. DATE AND TIME OF INSPECTION

July 28, 1993 9:00 a.m.

6. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

Mississippi Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (MHWMR) Parts 262, 265 and 268.

7. PURPOSE OF INSPECTION

A Compliance Evaluation Inspection was performed to determine Randall-Textron's compliance status with the applicable regulations.

8. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

The facility was built in 1960 by Lyons, Inc. North American Rockwell purchased the facility in 1966 and Randall-Textron purchased the facility in 1985.

Randall-Textron manufactures wheel covers for the automobile industry. Manufacturing activities include parts stamping, rolling, washing, polishing, electroplating and painting.

The electroplating process generates D007 characteristic hazardous wastes, bottom sediment and rinsate waters. The wastewater was previously sent to a chrome reduction unit and then to a settling basin which was part of the facility's

wastewater treatment system. The sediment in the settling basin was determined to meet the F006 hazardous waste listing, wastewater treatment sludges from electroplating operations. The settling basin is currently undergoing closure and its use has been discontinued.

Rinsate waters are currently recycled. The spillage or overflow of the rinsate waters goes to a "destruct pit" for storage until a predetermined amount has been collected. The waters are then pumped to a recovery unit inside the plant which distills the water and concentrates the chrome. The chrome is then returned to the chrome tanks within the process.

The painting operation generates D007 characteristic waste, air filters from the paint booths. The process previously generated waste TCE which met the F002 listing and the characteristic listing D040. The process has been changed and TCE was replaced with tri-sodium phosphate and sodium medasilicate.

The facility previously generated D001 characteristic waste, Safety Kleen petroleum naptha, in parts washers. The petroleum naptha has been replaced with Non-Haz, a mineral spirits which does not meet the D001 listing.

9. FINDINGS

The records inspected included manifests, land ban notifications, personnel training records, contingency plan and waste analysis. All records were found to be in order. Financial assurance documentation is provided tot eh Office and was reviewed and found to be in order.

After reviewing the records the surface impoundment was inspected. Signs were not posted around the impoundment identifying it as a hazard according to MHWMR 265.14(c).

There are currently three areas where hazardous wastes are generated at the facility; the electroplating process generates rinsate waters and tank bottoms which are D007 characteristic wastes; the painting process generates air filters which are D007 characteristic because of the proximity to the electroplating process; and a rack stripping process which generates waste methylene chloride a F002 listed waste. The areas of waste generation were viewed, however, on the day of the inspection the plant was shut down for inventory and none of the processes were in operation.

The less than ninety day storage area was inspected and found to be in good condition. The storage area exceeds the requirements for less than ninety day storage. The area is bermed, fenced and locked, and is provided with a roof to prevent the collection of rainwater. On the day of the

inspection five drums were being stored. These drums consisted of one drum of D007 chrome sludge, one drum of D001 waste urethane, two drums of D001 waste toluene and one drum of D007 paint air filters. All the drums were properly labeled and contained accumulation dates. All drums were within the ninety day time frame for storage.

Inspection forms for the less than ninety day storage area were reviewed and found to be in order. The area is inspected on a daily basis when the plant is in operation. The area is not inspected on weekends nor during plant shutdowns.

10. CONCLUSIONS

The following apparent violations of the Mississippi Hazardous Waste Management Regulations were found:

1) MHWMR 265.14(c) - "... a sign with the legend, "Danger - Unauthorized Personnel Keep Out," must be posted at each entrance to the active portion of a facility, and at other locations, in sufficient numbers to be seen from any approach to this active portion..." The surface impoundment which is undergoing closure did not have these signs posted.

It appears that the process which reclaims the chrome from the rinsate waters is operating under the exclusion stated in MHWMR 261.4(a)(8). Under this exclusion materials are never to be accumulated for more than twelve months without being reclaimed. A tracking system should be established to show that this condition is met.

11. SIGNED

Bruce Ferguson, Inspector

David Peacock, Supervisor

8/30/93

Date

Part 1

General Site Information

Facility Name:Address:	RANDAU	TEXTRON	
I.D. Number: Contact: Title: Phone Number:	HSD DONG	ork	
Type of Ownership):	w.	
Federal	State	CountyMuni	cipal Y Private
Facility Status:			10-
Generator	Transpor	rterTreatment	StorageX_Disposal
Regulatory Status	:		
	1 S	Part B Submit Part B in Pre	
Principal Inspect Organization:	or Name:	RUCE FERCUSAL Phone Num	Title: [w. 5c:]] ber:
Inspection Partic	cipants:		
Name Rhonda, Yerk Dann Spariavea		Title Plant Chen. 94 55III	Representing Randoll Textrem USEPA MDEQ
		·	

Part	

GENERAL FACILITY CHECKLIST

Sec	tion	A - General Facility Standards			
1.	Does	facility have EPA Identification No.?	Yes	No	NA
	a.	If yes, EPA I.D. No. M & D 6 0 7 0 3 7 2 If no, explain.	78		
2.	Has sour	facility received hazardous waste from a foreign ce?	Yes	<u>X</u> No	NA
	a.	If yes, has it filed a notice with the Regional Administrator?	Yes	No	X _{NA}
Was	te An	alysis			
3.	Does plan	facility maintain a copy of the waste analysis at the facility?	Yes	No	NA
	a.	If yes, does it include: (264.13) (265.13)			
	1	 Parameters for which each waste will be analyzed? Test methods used to test for these 	Yes	No	NA
		parameters?	Yes	No	NA
		3. Sampling method used to obtain sample?	Yes		
		 Frequency with which the initial analyses will be reviewed or repeated? (For offsite facilities) waste analyses that 	Yes	No	NA
		generators have agreed to supply?	Yes	No	× NA
		6. (For offsite facilities) procedures which are used to inspect and analyze each movement of hazardous waste, including:			_
		a. Procedures to be used to determine the identity of each movement of waste.	Yes	No	<u>V</u> NA
		b. Sampling method to be used to obtain			

4. Does the facility provide adequate security through: (264.14) (265.14)

representative sample of the waste to be

a. 24-hour surveillance system (e.g., television monitoring or guards)?

identified.

Yes _No _NA

__Yes __No XNA

	b.		rtificial or natural barrier around facions, fence or fence and cliff)?	lity Yes No	oNA
		D	escribe <u>Fenee</u>		
		A	ND		
•		a	eans to control entry through entrances ttendant, television monitors, locked entrolled roadway access)?		oNA
		D	escribe Guard		
	General :	Inspec	tion Requirements (264.15) (265.15)	·	
			wner/operator maintain a written schedu ty for inspecting:	le at	
	b. c.	Safet Secur Opera	oring equipment? y and emergency equipment? ity devices: ting and structural equipment? of problems of equipment:	Yes N	ONA ONA ONA ONA
		2.	Malfunction Operator error Discharges	YesN	IONA IONA IONA
	6. Does	the c	wner/operator maintain an inspection lo	g? \underline{X} Yes \underline{I}	ona
	a.	If ye	s, does it include:		
			Date and time of inspection? Name of inspector? Notation of observations? Date and nature of repairs or remedial action? Identification of potential problems?	Yes	NANA NONA NONA NONA
	b.	Are i	here any malfunctions or other deficient corrected? (Use narrative explanation s	cies heet.) <u>Y</u> es <u>X</u> 1	AN ON
	c.	Are	ecords kept a minimum of three years?	Yes	NoNA
	Personne	el Tra	<u>ning</u> (264.16) (265.16)		
			wher/operator maintain personnel training the facility?	.ng Yes	NoNA
	Date	e of m	est recent training: May 4 (415)		
			5		

How long are they kept?			
a. If yes, do they include:			
1. Job title and written job description of each position?	Yes Yes	No	NA
 Description of type and amount of training? Records of training given to facility 	Yes	No	NA
3. Records of training given to facility personnel?	Yes	No	NA
Requirements for Ignitable, Reactive, or Incompatible Waste		_	
(264.17) (265.17)			
8. Does facility handle ignitable or reactive wastes?	Yes	No	NA
a. If yes, is waste separated and confined from sources of ignition or reaction (open flames, smoking, cutting and welding, hot surfaces, frictional heat), sparks (static, electrical, or mechanical), spontaneous ignition (e.g., from heat-producing chemical reactions), and radiant heat?			
 If yes, use narrative explanation sheet to describe separation and confinement procedures If no, use narrative explanation sheet to describe sources of ignition or reaction. 	•		
b. Are smoking and open flames confined to specificall designated locations?	y Yes	No	<u>i</u> ⊿NA
c. Are "No Smoking" signs posted in hazardous areas?	Yes	_No	NA
d. Are precautions documented (Part 264 only)?	Yes	No	$\frac{1}{2}$ na
9. Check containers			
a. Are containers leaking or corroding?	Yes	<u>X</u> no	NA
	Yes	<u>/</u> No	NA
Section B - Preparedness and Prevention			
 Is there evidence of fire, explosion, or contamination of the environment? (264.31) (265.31) 	Yes	$\underline{\chi}$ No	NA
If yes, use narrative explanation sheet to explain.			

2.	Is the facility equipped with: (264.32) (265.32)
	a. Internal communication or alarm system?
	1. Is it easily accessible in case of emergency? XYesNoNA
	b. Telephone or two-way radio to call emergency response personnel? YesNoNA
	c. Portable fire extinguishers, fire control equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment? YesNoNA
	d. Water of adequate volume of hoses, sprinkers, or water spray system? 1. Describe source of water DOS
	1. Describe source of water On Site Well
3.	Is there sufficient aisle space to allow unobstructed movement of personnel and equipment? (264.35)(265.35) Yes _No _NA
4.	Has the owner/operator made arrangements with the local authorities to familiarize them with characteristics of the facility? (Layout of facility, properties of hazardous waste handled and associated hazards, places where facility personnel would normally be working, entrances to roads inside facility, possible evacuation routes.) (264.37) (265.37) Yes NoNA
5.	In the case that more than one police or fire department might respond, is there a designated primary authority? Yes No NA (264.37) (265.37) a. If yes, name primary authority Senata Fire Dep
6.	Does the owner/operator have phone numbers of and agreements with State emergency response teams, emergency response contractors, and equipment suppliers? (264.37) (265.37) a. Are they really available to all personnel? Yes No NA
7.	Has the owner/operator arranged to familiarize local hospitals with the properties of hazardous waste handled and types of injuries that could result from fires,
	explosions, or releases at the facility? (264.37) (265.37) Yes V No NA
8.	If State or local authorities declined to enter into agreements, is this entered in the operating record? (264.37) (265.37) Yes No VNA

	•		
	Sec	tion C - Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures	
	1.	Is a contingency plan maintained at the facility? (264.53) (265.53)	Yes _No _NA
		a. If yes, is it a revised SPCC Plan?	Yes No NA
		b. Does contingency plan include: (264.52) (265.52)	
	Civ	1. Arrangements with local emergency response organizations? 2. Emergency coordinator's names, phone numbers and addresses? 3. List of all emergency equipment at facility and descriptions of equipment? 4. Evacuation plan for facility personnel?	YesNoNA
سه ۲۰.	1	and addresses?	YesNoNA
6 July 6	1 CAR	and descriptions of equipment? 4. Evacuation plan for facility personnel?	YesNoNA
		Is there an emergency coordinator on site or on call at all times? (264.55) (265.55)	YesNoNA
	Sec	tion D - Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting	
	1.	Does facility receive waste from offsite? (264.71) (265.71)	_Yes Xno _na
		a. If yes, does the owner/operator retain copies of all manifests?	xesno $ imes$ na
		 Are the manifests signed and dated and returned to the generator? Is a signed copy given to the transporter? 	YesNoNA YesNoNA
	2.	Does the facility receive any waste from a rail or water (bulk shipment) transporter? (264.71) (265.71)	_Yes \(\sum_NA
		a. If yes, is it accompanied by a shipping paper?	YesNoNA
		1. Does the owner/operator sign and date the shipping paper and return a copy to the generator?	yes No.X Na
		2. Is a signed copy given to the transporter?	Yes No NA
	3.	Has the owner/operator received any shipments of waste that were inconsistent with the manifest (manifest discrepancies)? (264.72) (265.72)	_yes / No _vnA
		a. If yes, has he attempted to reconcile the discrepancy with the generator and transporter?	YesNo \(\sum_NA
		1. If no, has Regional Administrator been notified?	YesNo \lambda NA

_Yes _No NA

4.	Does the owner/operator keep a written operating record at the facility? (264.73) (265.73)	YesNoNA
	a. If yes, does it include:	
	 Description and quantity of each hazardous waste received? 	_YesNo <u>√</u> NA
100	Methods and dates of treatment, storage, and disposal?	Yes No XNA
	3. Location and quantity of each hazardous waste at each location?	YesNo \(\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{2} NA
	4. Cross-references to manifests/shipping papers?	YesNoNA
	5. Records and results of waste analyses?6. Report of incidents involving implementation	_Yes _No \(\frac{NA}{V} \)
*	of the contingency plan? 7. Records and results of required inspections? 8. Monitoring together and applicable for	Yes No NA
	8. Monitoring, testing, and analytical data, for groundwater required by Subpart F?9. Closure cost estimates and, for disposal facilities, post-closure cost estimates	YesNo ANA
	(Part 264)? 10. Notices of generators as specified in Section	_Yes _No \(\frac{1}{2}\)NA
	264.12(b) (Part 264)?	YesNo K_NA
	b. Does facility have copy of permit on site?	YesNo \(\frac{\sqrt{NA}}{\sqrt{NA}}\)
5.	Does the facility submit a biennial report by March 1 every even-numbered year? (264.75) (265.75)	Yes _No _NA
	a. If yes, do reports contain the following information:	`
	 EPA I.D. number? Date and year covered by report? 	Yes No NA
	 Date and year covered by report? Description/quantity of hazardous waste? Treatment, storage, and disposal methods? 	Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA
	5. Monitoring data under Section 265.94(a)(2) and (b)(2) (Part 265)?	Yes No NA
	6. Most recent closure and post-closure cost estiamtes?	Yes No NA
	 For TSD generators, description of efforts to reduce volume/toxicity of waste generated, 	. 7
	<pre>and actual comparisons with previous year? 8. Certification signed by owner/operator?</pre>	Yes No NA
6.	Has the facility received any waste (that does not come under the small generator exclusion) not accompanied by a manifest? (264.76) (265.76)	Yes \(\times \)NONA
	a. If yes, has he submitted an unmanifested waste report to the Executive Director?	YesNo X_NA

7. Does the facility submit to the Executive Director reports on releases, fires, and explosions; contamination and monitoring data; and facility closure?

Yes _No _NA

Part	
------	--

LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS CHECKLIST

Section A - General

1.	Are hazardous wastes land-disposed on site?	_Yes X_NoNA
	a. If yes, are one or more of the following circumstatrue:	ances
	 Granted extension from effective date pursuant to Section 268.5? Granted exemption from a prohibition pursuant to a petition under Section 268.6? Disposing of soil or debris resulting from a CERCLA response action or a RCRA corrective 	Yes No X NA
	action, which will not be prohibited until November 8, 1988? 4. Facility is a small quantity generator of less than 100 kg of hazardous waste per month?	YesNo <u>X_</u> NA
	5. Wastes not yet prohibited by Part 268?	$ \underline{\qquad}_{Yes} \underline{\qquad}_{No} \underline{\qquad}_{NA} $ $ \underline{\qquad}_{Yes} \underline{\qquad}_{No} \underline{\qquad}_{NA} $
2.	Are restricted wastes or residuals from treatment of a restricted waste diluted in any way prior to disposal?	Yes _NoNA
3.	Are there active surface impoundments used for treatment of hazardous wastes?	Yes KNO NA
	a. If yes, does the unit's design and operation meet the requirements set forth in Section 268.4?	_YesNo <u></u> NA
4.	Has the facility sought exemption from any prohibition under Subpart C of Section 268 for the disposal of a restricted hazardous waste?	_Yes _No XNA
	a. If yes, has the facility's demonstration included the required components (waste I.D., waste analysi comprehensive environmental characterization of un site, QA/QC plan, sampling, testing, modeling)?	s, it YesNo [}] NA
5.	Has the facility determined whether it generates a restricted waste through waste analysis? (268.7)	YesNoNA
	a. If yes, is the facility, in fact, handling a restricted waste(s)?	YesNoNA
	b. If yes, does the restricted waste required treatment?	XyesNo'NA

	c. If yes, has the generator notified the treatment facility in writing, and does the notification include all required components (EPA hazardous waste number, corresponding treatment standard, manifest number of shipment)? YesNoNA
6.	Does the facility handle EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F001 through F005 (solvent wastes)? (268.10) YesNA
	a. If yes, do any of the following conditions apply:
	 The generator of the solvent waste is a small quantity generator (not more than 1000 kg/month)?
	action) containing less than 1 percent total F001 through F005 solvent constituents. Yes K No NA
	b. If no, have any of these restricted wastes began land-disposed (except in an injection well) since November 8, 1986? Yes No NA
7.	Does the facility handle EPA Hazardous Waste Nos. F020, F021, F023, F026, F027, or F028 (dioxin-containing wastes)? Yes \(\frac{1}{2} \) NO \(\text{NA} \)
	a. If yes, do any of the following conditions apply:
	1. Wastes are treated to meet standards of Subpart D of Section 268? 2. Wastes are disposed of at a facility that has been granted a petition? 3. An extension has been granted? Yes No NA Yes No NA
	b. If no, were these restricted wastes land disposed after November 8, 1988?YesNoYNA
8.	Are restricted wastes being treated? YesNo \(\sum NA \)
	a. If yes, have any of their associated hazardous constituents exceeded the "Constituent in Waste Extract" (CWE) levels?YesNA

Section B - Generator Compliance

1. Waste Identification	
a. Does the generator handle the following wastes:	This has le wa
1. Solvent wastes	Yes No NA
(i) F001, F002, F004, or F005 (ii) F003	Yes No NA Yes No NA
If an F003 wastestream (listed solely for ignitability been mixed with a non-restricted solid or hazardous was does the resultant mixture exhibit the ignitability characteristic?	y) has aste, YesNoNA
Note: Appendix A is intended to assist the inspector and e official in determining whether the facility is gene wastes, if such wastes were not identified by the fapreviously. If you are concerned that F-solvent was misclassified or mislabeled, turn to Appendix A-1. identifying potentially misclassified F-solvents, Appresents a list of corresponding F and U wastes.	enforcement erating F-solvent acility stes may be To assist in
 Dioxin wates (F020-F023, F026-F028) Potential California List Wastes (see Appendix C) 	YesNoNA
(i) D002 (ii) D004-D011 (iii) Any other waste characterized by high	Yes Yno NA Yes No NA
concentrations of halogenated organic constituents (HOCs), metals, or cyanides? (iv) Any F, K, P, or U wastes subject to "soft hammer" requirements that may	_Yes <u>/</u> No _NA
qualify as California wastes due to HOCs, metals, or cyanide content? (See Appendix F) 4. First Third Wastes (See MHWMR 268.10)	Yes /No NA Yes No NA
5. Second Third Wastes (See MHWMR 268.11)6. (Reserved)	_Yes <u>/</u> No _NA
(i) Are any of the above "soft hammer" wastes? (See Appendices D & E)	YesNoNA
2. BDAT Treatability Group - Treatment Standards Identific	ation
a. Does the generator mix restricted wastes with different treatment standards for constituents of concern?	Yes / NoNA

b.	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	stringent treatment standard for the constituent of concern [Section 268.41(b)]?	Yes _No _NA
c.	F Solvents	
	Did the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatability group [Section 268.41] of the waste (e.g., wastewaters containing solvents, nonwastewater (i.e., < 1% TOC), pharmaceutical wastewaters containing spent methylene chloride, all other spent solvent wastes)?	<u> </u> YesNoNA
d.	California Wastes	
	Did the generator correctly determine the distinction between liquid hazardous wastes and non-liquid hazardous wastes that contain HOCs in concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg [Section 268.32(a)(3)]?	
e.	First and Second Third Waste	
e e	 Did the generator ascertain whether restricted wastes were appropriately assigned wastewater or nonwastewater designations (nonwastewaters are > 1% TOC and > 1% suspended solids) [Section 268.7(a)]? 	i
	2. Is there any reason to believe that the generator may have diluted the waste to change the applicable treatment standard (based on review of process operation, pipe routing, point of sampling)?	_Yes <u>X</u> no _na
Waste	e Analysis	,
a.	Did the generator determine whether the waste exceeds treatment standards based on Section 268.7(a):
	1. Knowledge of wastes	Yes No NA
	(i) List wastes for which "applied knowledge was used:	ş 11
	FOOL - disentined use	_
		_

з.

	2.	TCLP		Yes	No	NA
		(i)	List wastes for which "TCLP" was used:	for		
			7007			
		(ii)	MHWMR 268.41 lists wastes for which treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in waste extract. Were any wastes handled by the generator subject to waste extract standards not tested using the TCLP?	Yes	<u>/</u> no	NA
			If yes, list:			
	3.	Total	waste analysis	Yes	√No	NA
	4.		les were retained, describe content and of applied knowledge determination:			
		analy	termined by TCLP or total constituent sis, provide date of last test, frequence sting, and attach test results.	у		
		Dates	/frequency:			
		Note (which wastes were subjected to which tes	ts:		
		variat	any problems (e.g., inadequate analysis, tion of waste composition/generation for ed knowledge)	_		
	5.	analys [Sect:	wates tested using TCLP or total constitutions when a process or wastestream changed ion 264.13(a)(3)(i) or Section 3(a)(3)(i)]?	uent i Yes	No	$\chi_{ extsf{Na}}$
b.	treat	tabilit	stricted wastes exceed applicable by group treatment standards upon {Section 268.7(a)(1)}?	_		
	List	those	that exceeded standards:	_		

÷

(6)

		List	those that did not exceed standards:	_ , _	
	c.	treat	the generator dilute the waste or the tment residual so as to substitute for late treatment [Section 268.3]	Yes_	NONA
		6.	Has the generator conducted any testing of those hazardous wastes to determine whether the concentrations qualify the hazardous wastes as California wastes?	Yes_	NoNA
			If no, has the generator retained records documenting his "applied knowledge" that the hazardous waste is not a California waste?	Yes _	NoNA
4.	Mana	gemen	<u>t</u>		
	a.	Onsi	te management		
		1.	Were restricted wastes managed onsite?	Yes /	No NA
	}	2.	For wastes that exceed treatment standards, was treatment in regulated units, storage for greater than 90 days, and/or disposal conducted?	Yes ′	Xno _na
	•		If yes, TSDF checklist must be completed.		
	b.	Offs	ite Management		
		1.	If restricted wastes exceed treatment standard did generator provide treatment facility notification with each shipment? [268.7(a)(1)]		
			(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number?(ii) Corresponding treatment standard?(iii) Manifest number?(iv) Waste analysis, if available?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	
		Ide	ntify offsite treatment facilities		
	G. I	2.	If restricted wastes do not exceed treatment standards, did generator provide the disposal facility with a notice and certification including:		
			<pre>(i) EPA hazardous waste I.D. number? (ii) Corresponding treatment standard?</pre>	Yes .	No/NA

	<pre>(iii) Manifest number (iv) Certification regarding waste and that it meets treatment standards?</pre>	YesNoNA YesNoNA
	entify land disposal facilities receiving the AT certified wastes	
3.	If the generator's waste is subject to a Section 268.5 case by case exemption, a Section 268.6 "no migration" exemption, or a nationwide variance does the generator's records indicate that he or she submits with each waste shipment [Section 268.7(a)(3)]:	
	 (i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number? (ii) Corresponding Treatment Standards? (iii) All applicable prohibitions? (iv) The manifest number? (v) The date the wastes are subject to prohibitions? (vi) Does generator keep records of all notifications/certifications send to offsite facilities? 	YesNoNAYesNoNAYesNoNAYesNoNAYesNoNAYesNoNA
φ.	List all prohibited wastes for which records are not provided per above [Section 268.7(a)(h)] Identify TSDFs receiving any prohibited wastes subject to any exemptions and variances:	<u>-</u>
4.	If handler generates a "soft hammer" waste, do the generator send with each "soft hammer" was shipment to a TSDF and retain copies of, a not that includes [268.7(a)(4)]:	ste
	The EPA Hazardous Waste Number? Applicable prohibitions? The manifest number? Waste analysis data, where available?	YesNoNA YesNoNA YesNoNA YesNoNA
	(i) Do the generator's records indicate that any soft-hammer wastes are destined for	:

		If yes, list facility of destination ar waste of concern [Section 268.8(a)(2)]	nd
			
	(ii)	Has the generator submitted demonstration and certifications for each "soft-hamme waste destined to be disposed in landfi or surface impoundment to the Regional Administrator prior to the shipment of to the TSDF [Section 268.7(a)(2)]?	ered" 111
	11	The the second of the last of the	
	(111)	Has the generator retained a copy of the demonstration on site [Section 268.8(a) (a)(4)]?	
	(iv)	Has the generator retained copies of all Section 268.8 certifications sent to the TSDF [Section 268.7(a)(6)]	
	·(v)	Did the generator submit the demonstrate to the receiving facility upon the init shipment of the waste [Section 268.8(a (a)(4)]?	ial
	(vi)	If the Regional Administrator has invalidate certification, has the generator conshipment of the waste and do records in that the generator has informed all refacilities of the invalidation [Section 268.8(b)(3)]?	eased ndicate ceiving
5.	Storage of Proh	ibited Waste	
		bited wastes stored for greater than 90	_Yes X_No _NA
		s facility operating as a TSD under atus or final permit {Section ?	_Yes _No XNA
	If yes, TS	DF Checklist must be completed.	
6.		RCRA 264/265 Exempt Units or Processes furnaces, distillation units, wastewater, etc.)	r
		ment residuals generated from RCRA empt units or processes?	_Yes _No \(\)NA

.

		If ye	s, list type of treatment unit and processes			
		If ye	s, TSDF checklist must be completed.	1		
Sec	tion	C - Tr	eatment, Storage & Disposal Requirements	1 1	\land	
1.	Gene	ral		N	1	
	a.	Does TCLP)	the facility conduct waste analysis (total and on-site or through a commercial laboratory?	i _		
	b.	Descr facil	ibe the frequency of sampling conducted by the	:		
2.	Trea	tment	Facilities	•		
	a.	analy	he treatment facility revised its waste sis plan [Section 268.7(b)] to meet the rements of Section 264.13 or 265.13?	Yes	No	⊥na
		(i)	Is the treatment facility conducting TCLP tests for wastes subject to treatment standards expressed as waste extracts per 268.7(b)(i)?	Yes	No	NA
		(ii)	Is the treatment facility using the paint filter test for the California waste residues [Section 268.7(b)(ii)]?		No	NA
		(iii)	Is the treatment facility testing the pH of California waste residues?	Yes	No	NA
		(iv)	Is the treatment facility testing concentrations (not extracts) in the waste residues for prohibited wastes with established treatment standards expressed as waste concentrations [Section 268.7(b)(3)]?	Yes	No	NA
		(V)	Is the treatment facility testing extracts of the waste residues for prohibited wastes having established treatment standards expressed as extract concentrations [Section 268.7(b)(1)]	Vee	No	, NA
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	—, es	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

3.	Land	Disposal Facilities			
	a.	Has the facility retained all notices and certifications from generators, storage and treatment facilities [268.7(c)(1)]?	_Yes	No_	NA.
	b.	Are wastes and waste residues tested for compliance with applicable treatment standards and prohibitions [Section 268.7(c)(2)]?	_Yes	No	N.A.
	c.	Are they being tested in conformance with the frequency specified in the waste analysis plan [Section 268.7(c)(3)]?	Yes	No	N#
	d.	Are the appropriate tests (TCLP vs. total waste) being used [Section 268.7(c)(2)]?	Yes	No	N#
4.	Stor	age (Section 268.50)			
	a.	Are restricted wastes exceeding treatment standards stored (excepting wastes subject to no migration exemptions, nationwide variances, case by case extensions, soft-hammered wastes)?		No	N2
	,b.	Are all containers clearly marked to identify content and date(s) entering storage [Section 268.50(a)(2)]?	Yes	No	N2
	c.	Do operating records track the location, quantity and dates that wastes exceeding treatment standards entered and were removed from storage [Section 264.73 or Section 265.73]?		No	N2
	d.	Do operating records agree with container labeling? [Section 268.50(a)(2) or Section 264.73 or Section 265.73]	Yes	No	N2
	e.	Is waste exceeding treatment standards stored for less than 1 year?	Yes	No	N2
		If yes, can you show that such accumulation is not necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment, or disposal?	Yes	No	N Z
		If yes, state how:			
					1

f. Was/is waste exceeding treatment standards stored

for more than one year?

If yes, state the owner/operator's proof that such storage was solely for the purposes of accumulation of such quantities of hazardous waste as are necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment, or disposal:

 Treatment in Surface Impoundments (Section 268.4) a. Are prohibited wastes placed in surface impoundments for treatment? b. Is the only recognizable "treatment" occurring in the impoundment either evaporation, dilution, or 	-	No
for treatment? b. Is the only recognizable "treatment" occurring in the impoundment either evaporation, dilution, or	-	
the impoundment either evaporation, dilution, or	_Yes	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
both [Section 268.4(b) and Section 268.3]?		No
c. Did the facility submit a certification of compliance with minimum technology and groundwater monitoring requirements, and the waste analysis plan to the Agency [Section 268.4(a)(4)]?	_Yes	No
<pre>d. Have the minimum technology requirements been met [Section 268.4(a)(4)]?</pre>	_Yes	No
<pre>1. If the minimum technology requirements have not been met, has a waiver been granted for that unit(s) [Section 268.4(a)(3)(iii)]?</pre>	_Yes	No
<pre>e. Have the Subpart F groundwater monitoring requirements been met [Section 268.4(a)(3)]?</pre>	_Yes	No
f. Have representative samples of the sludge and supernatant from the surface impoundment been tested separately, acceptably, and in accordance with the sampling frequency and analysis specified in the waste analysis plan and are the results in the operating record for all wastes with treatment standards or prohibition levels [Section 268.4(a)(2)]?	_Yes	No
g. Did the hazardous waste residue (sludge or liquid) exceed the treatment standards or prohibition levels?	_Yes	No
.h. Provide the frequency of analyses conducted on treatment residues:		
Does the frequency meet the requirements of the waste analysis plan [Section 264.13 or Section 265.13]?	Yes	No

	c.	Does the facility treat soft-hammered wastes?	Yes	No	NA
				× .	
	b.	Describe the treatment processes, including exempt processes:	_		
	a.	Does the facility operate treatment units (regulate or exempt) (not including surface impoundments)?		No .	NA
6.	Other	Treatment			
			_		
		2. Identify management method:	-		
		1. If yes, are waste residues treated on or offsite?	Yes	No .	NA
	m.	When removed, were wastes treated prior to disposal?	Yes	No	NA
		1. Were these residues subject to a valid 268.8 certification?	Yes	No	NA
	1.	When removed, were residues of restricted wastes managed subsequently in another surface impoundment?	Yes	No	NA
•		<pre>indicate that inspections of liner integrity are performed?</pre>	Yes	No	NA
	k.	precautions taken to protect liners and do records			
		 If answer to f is no and supernatant is determined to exceed treatment concentrations, is annual throughput greater than impoundment volume? (note: sludge exceeding treatment standards must be removed) 	Yes	No	NA
	j.	Have the hazardous waste residues that exceed the treatment standards and/or prohibition levels been removed adequately and on an annual basis [Section 268.4(a)(2)(ii)]?	Yes	No	NA
	1.	the results of waste analyses performed [Section 264.13 or Section 265.13]?	Yes	No .	/_NA

		 If yes, is treatment occurring as described in the generator's certification/demonstration [Section 268.8(c)(1)]? 	_Yes	No	<u></u> NA
		 Did the treatment facility certify he treated the soft-hammered waste as per the generator's demonstration and maintain copies of all certifications [268.8(c)(1)]? 	_Yes	No	ANA
		3. Did the treatment facility send a copy of the generator's demonstration and certification to the receiving treatment, recovery, or storage facility [Section 268.8(c)(2)]?	_Yes	No	NA
	d.	Does the facility, in accordance with an acceptable waste analysis plan, verify that the residue extract from all treatment processes for the restricted wastes are less than treatment standards or prohibition levels [Section 268.7(c)(2)]?	_Yes	No	NA
	e.	Describe frequency of testing of treatment residuals	•		
	f.	Was dilution used as a substitute for treatment [Section 268.3]?	_Yes	No	NA
	g.	Are all notifications, certifications, and results of waste analyses kept in the operating record [Section 264.73(b) or Section 265.73(b)]?	_Yes	No	NA.
	h.	Are notices provided to land disposal facilities complete with Waste Number, treatment standard, manifest number, and analytical data (where available) submitted for each shipment of waste or treatment residual that meets the treatment standard stating that waste has been treated to treatment performance standards [Section 268.7(b)(4) and (5) and Section 268.8(c)(1)]?	_Yes	No	NA
	i.	If the waste or treatment residue will be further managed at another storage or treatment facility, has the treatment facility complied with the 268.7(a) notification and certification requirements applicable to generators [Section 268.7(b)(6)]?	_Yes	No	NA
7.	Land	Disposal			
	a.	Are restricted and/or prohibited wastes placed in land disposal units (landfills, surface impoundments:	*	1	

	<pre>waste piles, wells, land treatment units, salt domes/beds, mines/caves, concrete vault or bunker?)</pre>	Yes	No _	NA	
b.	Did facility have the notice and certification from generators/treaters in its operating record that all prohibited wastes disposed met standards for generation or treatment [Section 268.7(c)(1) and 268.7(a),(b)]?	Yes	No	NA	
c.	Did the facility obtain waste analysis data through testing of the waste to determine that the wastes are in compliance with the applicable treatment standards [Section 268.7(c)(2)]?	Yes	No _	АИ	
	If yes, was the frequency of testing as required by the facility's waste analysis plan [Section 264.13 or 265.13]?	Yes	No_	NA	
d.	Were prohibited wastes exceeding the applicable treatment standards or prohibition levels placed in land disposal units [268.30] excluding national capacity variances [268.30(a)]?	Yes	No .	NA	
)	If yes, did facility have an approved waiver based on no migration petition [268.6] or approved case-by-case or capacity extension [268.5] or treatment standard variance [268.44][Section 268.30(d), Section 268.31(d), Section 268.32(g), Section 268.33(e)]?	Yes	No .	NA	
e.	Were restricted wastes subject to a national capacity variance or case-by-case extension disposed?	Yes	No	NA	
	If yes, have the minimum technology requirements been met for all units receiving such wastes [Section 268.30(c), 268.31(c), 268.32(d), 268.33(d)]?	Yes	No	NA NA	
f.	Were adequate records of disposal maintained [Section 264.73(b) or 265.73(b)]?	Yes	No	NA	
g.	If wastes subject to a nationwide variances, case-by-case extensions [268.5], or no migration petitic [268.6] were disposed, does facility have generate notices [268.7(a)(3)] and records of disposal? [Section 264.73(b) or Section 265.73(b)]		No	NA	
h.	If the facility has a case-by-case extension, can the inspector verify that the facility is making progress as described in progress reports?	Yes	No	NA	
				\	•

i. If the owner/operator is disposing of a softhammer waste, is he maintaining the generators
and treaters (if applicable) notices and
certifications [Section 268.8(a)(2)-(a)(4)]?

1. Is the facility disposing of any soft
hammer wastes that may be classified as
California wastes?

2. Did the facility seek to verify whether
these wastes may be subject to all restrictions,
e.g., California ban?

Yes __No __NA

Part	

GENERATOR'S CHECKLIST

Sec	tion /	A - EPA Identification No.			
1.	Does	generator have EPA I.D. No.? (262.12)	Yes	No	NA
	a.	If yes, EPA I.D. No. 80 7637278	·. \		
Sec	tion 1	3 - Manifest			
1.	Does	generator ship waste offsite? (262.20)	Yes	No	NA
	a.	If no, do not fill out Sections B and D.	Ì		
	b.	If yes, identify primary offiste facility(s). Landlaw	9		
2.	Does	generator use manifest? (262.20)	\underline{X} Yes	No	NA
	a.	If no, is generator a small quantity generator (generating between 100 and 1000 kg/month)?	Yes	No	<u> </u>
		1. If yes, does generator indicate this when sending waste to a TSD facility?	Yes	No	<u> Y</u> na
	b.	If yes, does manifest include the following information?	<u>X</u> Yes	No	NA
		 Manifest document No. Generator's name, mailing address, telephone 	Yes	No	NA
		 Generator EPA I.D. No. Transporter Name(s) and EPA I.D. No.(s) 	Yes Yes Yes	No	NA
		b. Alternate facility name, address, and EPAI.D. No.	<u>x</u> Yes <u>x</u> Yes		
		 c. Instructions to return to generator if undeliverable 6. Waste information required by DOE - shipping 	Yes	No	NA
		name, quantity (weight or vol.), containers (type and number)	<u>√</u> Yes	No	NA
		 Emergency information (optional) (special handling instructions, telephone No.) Is the following certification on each 	<u>Y</u> Yes	No	NA
		· · ·	Yes	No	NA

This is to certify that the above named materials are properly classified, described, packaged, marked, and labeled and are in proper condition for transportation according to the applicable regulations of the Department of Transportation and the EPA.

	or transportation and the arm.	
	9. Does generator retain copies of manifests?	YesNoNA
If ye	s, complete a through e.	
í	1. Did generator sign and date all manifests? 2. Who signed for generator? Name Hondan Work Title Hant Chem	Yes No NA Yes No NA
1	 Did generator obtain handwritten signature and date of acceptance from initial transporter? Who signed and dated for transporter? Name	YesNoNA YesNoNA
•		YesNoNA YesNoNA YesNoNA
Section	on C - Hazardous Waste Determination	
1. D	pes generator generate solid waste(s) listed in Subpart (List of Hazardous Waste)? (261.30)	Yes No NA
**	a. If yes, list waste and quantities (include EPA Hazardous Waste No.)	
е	pes generator solid waste(s) listed in Subpart C that whibit hazadous characteristics? (corrosivity, gnitability, reactivity, EP toxicity) (261.20)	X YesNoNA
*	a. If yes, list wastes and quantities (include EPA Hazardous Waste No.)	
	or by applying knowledge of processes?	_

	 a. If equivalent test methods used, attach copy of equivalent methods used. 	
3.	Are there any other solid wastes generated by generators?	<u>/</u> Yes
	a. If yes, did generator test all wastes to determine nonhazardous characteristics?	Yes
	 If no, list wastes and quantities deemed nonhazardous or processes from which non- hazardous waste was produced (use additional sheet if necessary). 	1
Sec	ction D - Pretransport Requirements	
1.	Does generator package waste in accordance with 49 CFR 173, 178, and 179 (DOT requirements)? (262.30)	Yes
2.	c. Is there evidence of heat generation from	Yes
	incompatible wastes in the containers? (262.31)	Ye
з.	Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in	
	Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in	Yes
4.	Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in	¥re: -Vre:
4.	Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in accordance with 49 CFR 172? Does generator mark each package in accordance with 49 CFR 172? Is each container of 110 gallons or less marked with	
4.	Does generator follow DOT labeling requirements in accordance with 49 CFR 172? Does generator mark each package in accordance with 49 CFR 172? Is each container of 110 gallons or less marked with the following label? (262.32) Label saying: HAZARDOUS WASTE - Federal Law Prohibits Improper Disposal. If found, contact the nearest policy or public safety authority or the U.S. Environmental	

7. Accum	nulation time: (262.34)	
	Are containers used to temporarily store waste before transport?	Yes No X NA
	 If yes, is each container clearly dated: Also, fill out rest of No. 7 (accum. time) 	YesNo ŽNA
b.	or corrosion? (265.174 - Inspections)	YesNoNA
	2. If yes, with what frequency?	YesNoNA
c.	Does generator locate containers holding ignitable or reactive waste at least 15 meters (50 feet) from the facility's property line? (265.176 - Special Requirements for Ignitable or Reactive Wastes)	YesNoNA
NOTE: If	f tanks are used, fill out checklist for tanks.	/\
d.	Are the containers labeled and marked in accordance with Section D-3, D-4, and D-5 of this form?	YesNoNA
	f generator accumulates waste on site, fill out hecklist for General Facilities, Subparts C and D.	
e.	Does generator comply with requirements for personnel training? (Attach checklist for 265.16 - Personnel Training.)	
	ribe storage area. Use photos and narrative anation sheet.	,
Section E	E - Recordkeeping and Records (262.40)	
	generator keep the following reports for 3 years?	
	Exception reports	Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA
d.	Test results	Yes No NA
2. Where	e) are the records kept (at facility or elsewhere)?	
3. Who i	is in charge of keeping the records? Charles Institute Title	113

.

•

Section F - Special Conditions

1.	Has Admi	generator received from or transported to a foreign nistrator?	Yes	∠No _	NA
	a.	If yes, has he filed a notice with the Regional Administrator?	Vos	No A	/ N/B
	b.	Is this waste manifested and signed by a foreign			IIA
		cosignee?	Yes	No X	NA
	c.	If generator transported wastes out of the country, has he received confirmation of delivered			·)
		shipment?	Yes	No X	NA

App	endix II - Less-than-Ninety Day Storage			
1.	Source/Data: Para Boute			
2.	Type(s) of waste: Deen Farding 1/1,3			
3.	Condition of containers: Cood			
	a. Containers closed?	Kes	No	NA
	b. Containers properly labelled?	Yes	No	N
	c. Accumulation dates?	Yes	No	N <i>I</i>
	d. Area inspected?	Yes	No.	N

.

. 1

<u>bb.</u>	endix ii - Less-than-kinety bay Storage	
1.	Source/Data: Par Trans	
2.	Type(s) of waste:	
3.	Condition of containers: waste to vene	
	a. Containers closed?b. Containers properly labelled?c. Accumulation dates?d. Area inspected?	Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA

1.	Source/Data:	
2.	Type(s) of waste: 1801 WASTE URATHANK	
3.	Condition of containers: Geo [
	a. Containers closed?	Yes No NA
	b. Containers properly labelled?	TYes No NA
(3)	c. Accumulation dates?	Yes No NA
	d. Area inspected?	Yes No NA

Appendix II - Less-than-Ninety Day Storage

App	endix II - Less-than-Ninety Day Storage	
1.	Source/Data: Chrome Electropating	
2.	Type(s) of waste: Door Chane Shape	
3.	Condition of containers: 600 d	
	a. Containers closed?b. Containers properly labelled?c. Accumulation dates?d. Area inspected?	Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA Yes No NA

- 1

Waste Name: Modhylene Chloride of B.
Waste Code: Foo2 Doo? Doo%
Process Generating Waste: Stripping Racks
How was determination made? Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: Office (
Site/Firm: Bryson Services Memonis
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes No Describe.
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: Waste Code:
Process Generating Waste:
How was determination made? Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure:
Site/Firm:
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes No Describe.
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: Paint Booth Filters
Waste Code: Doc7
Process Generating Waste: Panting operation
How was determination made? Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: Ollste Dispose
Site/Firm: Bryson Services
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? YesNo
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: Chrome Ringe Waters
Waste Code:
Process Generating Waste: Chrone Hating
How was determination made? Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: NPDES
Site/Firm:
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes No Describe.
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: Clime Sludge
Waste Code: Doo
Process Generating Waste: Cleanout of Tanks (hyanic Acid Tanks
How was determination made? / Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: BR Site Dispose
Site/Firm: Brycon Recovery Services Memphy
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes No_ Describe.
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: WASE TEE
Waste Code: FOOL Deto Do39
Process Generating Waste: Paint Bouth Cleaning operation
How was determination made? Xknowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: Sile
Site/Firm: Bryson Services Memphis
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes No Describe.
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No Describe.

Waste Name: WASTE PETROLEUM MAPTHA
Waste Code: Dool Dogg
Process Generating Waste: Parts Washer
How was determination made? Knowledge of Waste. Describe. Testing. Describe.
Waste Generation Rate (may be estimated)
Disposal Procedure: SAFTEY Kleen
Site/Firm: Suthaven
Is waste subject to requirements of MHWMR 268? Yes X No
Is waste excluded under MHWMR 261.4? Yes No(

P	art	

FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST

	TIMANCIAL REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST	
Sec	ction A - Closure	
	•	
1.	Is facility required to provide financial assurance for closure?	Yes No NA
	a. Type of financial assurance Transport	
	b. Amount of closure costs 7,291,249	
	1. Date of most recent adjustment was 130 19	P7
	c. Effective date of mechanism Acad / 3377	
	d. Expiration date of mechanism Mach 20, 199	
	e. Is instrument adequate?	Yes No NA
Sec	ction B - Post-Closure	
1.	Is facility required to provide financial assurance	
	for post-closure care?	_Yes XNo _NA
	a. Type of financial assurance Mone	\
	b. Amount of closure costs	
	1. Date of most recent adjustment	
	c. Effective date of mechanism	
	d. Expiration date of mechanisme. Is instrument adequate?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	e. Is institutent adequate?	YesNoNA
Sec	tion C - Corrective Action	
1.	Is facility required to provide financial assurance for	
	corrective action?	_Yes XNo _NA
	a. Type of financial assurance Ass C	`
	b. Amount of closure costs	
	1. Date of most recent adjustment	
	c. Effective date of mechanism	
	d. Expiration date of mechanism	
	e. Is instrument adequate?	YesNoNA
Sec	tion D - Liability Requirements	
1.	Is facility required to provide liability coverage for	
	sudden accidental occurrences?	_Yes _No _NA
	a. Type of assurance	
	b. Is amount at least \$1 million per occurrence, \$2	

_Yes _No _NA

million annual aggregate?
c. Effective date of mechanism _____

	d. Expiration date of mechanism	
2.	Is facility required to provide liability coverage for non-sudden accidental occurrences?	YesNoNA
	a. Type of assurance	
	b. Is amount at least \$3 million per occurrence, million annual aggregate?	\$6YesNoNA
	c. Effective date of mechanism	
	d. Expiration date of mechanism	

CHCKLIST: lr